For the Northern District of California

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	UNIRAM TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	No. C 04-1268 VRW (MEJ)
10	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER RE TSMC'S
11	vs.	INTERROGATORY NO. 14
12	MONOLITHIC SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY, INC, et al.,	
13 14	Defendant(s).	

The Court is in receipt of the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, dated September 19, 2006. (Doc. #241.) In the letter, TSMC requests an order requiring UniRAM to supplement its response to TSMC's Interrogatory No. 14 by identifying, for each trade secret, the disclosure in patents or patent applications where UniRAM included the trade secret, and the circumstances surrounding any disclosure of confidential patent applications to TSMC. UniRAM's trade secret disclosure has 208 trade secrets; however, Judge Walker ordered UniRAM to pare down its list of trade secrets to 12 by October 20, 2006. (Doc. #198.) Accordingly, the Court finds TSMC's request premature. If a dispute remains after October 20, the parties shall again meet and confer on this issue and thereafter file a joint letter if unable to resolve their dispute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 26, 2006

United States Magistrate Judge